## WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SHAMROCK ENVIRONMENTAL LANDFILL

me:_	3:15 pm Weather Conditions: Party Cloud, 700			
		Yes	No	Notes
CR I	Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84	4)		And the state of t
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		X	
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?		X	· ·
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.		X	
R F	ngitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4	4))	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	to the second
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.	X	ing pilutana na kana ana aka ana	
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?	χ		<del>and the state of the state of the second se</del>
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?	<del>and a land and the</del>	X	
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?		X	<del>and the second </del>
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.	:	X	
9	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.	Х		
0.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question		X	
1.	Were the citizen complaints logged?		1	<del> </del>